

The
American Supreme Court
as an
International Tribunal

by
Herbert A. Smith, M.A.

William S. Hein & Co., Inc.
Buffalo, New York
2003

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Smith, Herbert Arthur, b. 1885.

The American Supreme Court as an international tribunal /
by Herbert A. Smith.

p. cm.

Originally published: New York : Oxford University Press, c1920.
Includes index.

ISBN 1-57588-750-9 (cloth : alk. paper)

1. Interstate controversies—United States. 2. United States.
Supreme Court. I. Title.

KF4615.S63 2003

347.73'26—dc21

2002191288

This acid-free reprint was electronically imaged and laser printed
under the strict quality control guidelines established by
William S. Hein & Co., Inc.'s "Preservation Program."
This program was established to preserve the integrity of
legal classics for future generations of legal researchers.

This paper meets the requirements of
ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).



William S. Hein & Co., Inc.
Buffalo, New York
2003

PREFACE

WHATEVER form the League of Nations may ultimately take it must contain some provisions for the settlement by judicial means of justiciable disputes between members of the League. For about a century and a quarter the Supreme Court of the United States has been entrusted under the Federal Constitution with the decision of such controversies between the States of the American Union. Since it has worked under peculiar conditions and within a very restricted area the student will see that inferences drawn from its history can only be applied with considerable qualification to any Court of the Nations that may hereafter be set up. Nevertheless this is the only permanent court, as distinguished from occasional arbitration commissions, which has hitherto attempted in any degree to discharge the functions of a true international tribunal, and it is therefore clearly desirable that the nature of its work should be as widely as possible studied at the present time.

This essay aims at giving in a small compass a reasoned summary of all the inter-State cases hitherto decided in the Supreme Court. As I am not writing primarily for lawyers or other technical students I have so far as possible avoided all technicalities. Questions of procedure, for example, are almost entirely ignored, and I have also passed lightly over many matters which, although important in themselves, are of interest mainly to students of American constitutional law. The Supreme Court has been keenly conscious of its functions as an international tribunal, and it is this aspect of its work which I wish to emphasize. I have no desire to

write propaganda either for or against the League of Nations, and it is possible that different minds may draw different conclusions from a study of the history of the Supreme Court. To my mind the experiment appears within its own limits as a great success, but I have no wish to slur over the difficulties which the Court has encountered or to minimize the differences between the conditions of a North American Union and those of a League embracing most of the civilized nations of the world.

In a work of this kind it would be merely pedantic to cite numerous authorities. For the convenience of lawyers I have given references to the decisions in the original Supreme Court reports, which are to be found in most of the principal law libraries. Dr. James Brown Scott has collected all the inter-State cases into two volumes published in New York by the Oxford University Press under the title of *Judicial Settlement of Controversies between States of the American Union*, and in a third volume has made a valuable analysis of these cases in chronological order. This exhaustive work has been the principal source-book for my essay, as it must be for any other student who wishes to study the history of the Supreme Court as an international tribunal.

To Dr. Scott personally I am indebted for valuable advice and encouragement in the preparation of this little book, the substance of which was delivered in the form of lectures at Oxford in the summer term of 1919. A word of the warmest gratitude is also due to many generous hosts in Washington, New York, and the Southern States, whose kindness to a wandering British officer in 1918 did more than any books could have done to quicken my interest in American institutions.

H. A. S.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
PREFACE	iii
TABLE OF CASES	vii
I. ORIGIN OF THE SUPREME COURT	i
II. EXTENT OF THE JURISDICTION	14
III. BOUNDARY CASES	34
IV. THE RECOVERY OF STATE DEBTS	60
V. CASES OF INJURY BY STATE ACTION	73
VI. THE ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS	89
VII. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	106
INDEX	121

TABLE OF CASES

	PAGES
<i>Ableman v. Booth</i> (1858); 21 Howard, 506....	97
<i>Alabama v. Georgia</i> (1859); 23 Howard, 505..	37-8, 55
<i>Arkansas v. Tennessee</i> (1918); 246 U. S., 158..	55-6
<i>Cherokee Nation v. Georgia</i> (1831); 5 Peters, 1	15, 73-5, 87, 89
<i>Chisholm v. Georgia</i> (1793); 2 Dallas, 419.....	9, 60, 94
<i>Collector v. Day</i> (1870); 11 Wallace, 113.....	66
<i>Cuba v. North Carolina</i> (1917); 242 U. S., 665.	75
<i>Dred Scott Case</i> ; see <i>Scott v. Sandford</i> .	
<i>Florida v. Georgia</i> (1850); 11 Howard, 293.....	18
<i>Florida v. Georgia</i> (1854); 17 Howard, 478.....	3, 18, 32, 37
<i>Hepburn v. Griswold</i> (1870); 8 Wallace, 603...	11
<i>Indiana v. Kentucky</i> (1890); 136 U. S., 479....	40-1
<i>Indiana v. United States</i> (1893); 148 U. S., 148	64-5
<i>Iowa v. Illinois</i> (1893); 147 U. S., 1.....	42-3
<i>Kansas v. Colorado</i> (1902); 185 U. S., 125....	3, 24-5, 81, 84
<i>Kansas v. Colorado</i> (1907); 206 U. S., 46.....	33, 81-8, 97, 111
<i>Kansas v. United States</i> (1907); 204 U. S., 331	31
<i>Kentucky v. Dennison, Governor of Ohio</i> (1860); 24 Howard, 66.....	18-19, 95-7
<i>Legal Tender Cases</i> (1871); 12 Wallace, 457...	11, 113
<i>Louisiana v. Mississippi</i> (1906); 202 U. S., 1 and 50.....	26, 47-52
<i>Louisiana v. Texas</i> (1900); 176 U. S., 1.....	22-4, 77-9, 87, 97
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i> (1819); 4 Wheaton, 316	66, 90
<i>Maryland v. West Virginia</i> (1910); 217 U. S., 1 and 577.....	53-5
<i>Minnesota v. Hitchcock</i> (1902); 185 U. S., 387..	31
<i>Missouri v. Illinois</i> (1901); 180 U. S., 208.....	25-6, 79
<i>Missouri v. Illinois</i> (1906); 200 U. S., 496.....	79-81, 88, 97
<i>Missouri v. Illinois</i> (1906); 202 U. S., 598.....	81
<i>Missouri v. Iowa</i> (1849); 7 Howard, 660.....	17, 36-7
<i>Missouri v. Iowa</i> (1897); 165 U. S., 118.....	36
<i>Missouri v. Kansas</i> (1908); 213 U. S., 78.....	53
<i>Missouri v. Kentucky</i> (1870); 11 Wall., 395....	39-40
<i>Missouri v. Nebraska</i> (1904); 196 U. S., 23....	47
<i>Nebraska v. Iowa</i> (1892); 143 U. S., 359.....	41-2
<i>Nebraska v. Iowa</i> (1892); 145 U. S., 519.....	42
<i>New Hampshire v. Louisiana</i> (1883); 108 U. S., 76.....	21
<i>New Jersey v. New York</i> (1830); 3 Peters, 461	14
<i>New Jersey v. New York</i> (1831); 5 Peters, 284	15
<i>New York v. Connecticut</i> (1799); 4 Dallas, 1..	14
<i>New York v. Louisiana</i> (1883); 108 U. S., 76..	21
<i>North Carolina v. Tennessee</i> (1914); 235 U. S., 1.....	55
" <i>Paquete Habana</i> ," <i>The</i> (1900); 175 U. S., 677	84
<i>Pennsylvania v. Wheeling & Belmont Bridge</i> <i>Co.</i> (1856); 18 Howard, 421.....	77

	PAGES
<i>Rhode Island v. Massachusetts</i> (1833); 7 Peters, 651	16
<i>Rhode Island v. Massachusetts</i> (1838); 12 Peters, 657	17, 95
<i>Rhode Island v. Massachusetts</i> (1846); 4 How- ard, 591	35-6
<i>Scott v. Sandford</i> (1857); 19 Howard, 393.. II, 91-3, 112-3, 117	75-7, 88
<i>South Carolina v. Georgia</i> (1876); 93 U. S., 4..	65-7
<i>South Carolina v. United States</i> (1905); 199 U. S., 437	21-2, 63, 98-9
<i>South Dakota v. North Carolina</i> (1904); 192 U. S., 286	32, 64
<i>United States v. Louisiana</i> (1887); 123 U. S., 32	64
<i>United States v. Louisiana</i> (1888); 127 U. S., 182	62 3, 98
<i>United States v. Michigan</i> (1903); 190 U. S., 379	65
<i>United States v. New York</i> (1896); 160 U. S., 598	29, 61-2
<i>United States v. North Carolina</i> (1890); 136 U. S., 211	29-30
<i>United States v. Texas</i> (1892); 143 U. S., 621..	44-7
<i>United States v. Texas</i> (1896); 162 U. S., 1....	58
<i>United States v. Wong Kim Ark</i> (1898); 169 U. S., 654	43
<i>Virginia v. Tennessee</i> (1893); 148 U. S., 503...	44
<i>Virginia v. Tennessee</i> (1903); 190 U. S., 64....	19-21, 35, 38-9
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1870); 11 Wallace, 39	27-8, 67-72
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1907); 206 U. S., 290	68
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1908); 209 U. S., 514	68-9, 107
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1911); 220 U. S., 1	69
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1911); 222 U. S., 17	69
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1913); 231 U. S., 89	69, 108
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1914); 234 U. S., 117	70-72, 99
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1915); 238 U. S., 202	100
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1916); 241 U. S., 531	100-4
<i>Virginia v. West Virginia</i> (1918); 246 U. S., 565	52-3
<i>Washington v. Oregon</i> (1908); 211 U. S., 127..	53
<i>Washington v. Oregon</i> (1909); 214 U. S., 205..	74, 89
<i>Worcester v. Georgia</i> (1832); 6 Peters, 515....	

INDEX

- ACCOUNT
 - Decreed between States, 68
 - Items of, considered by the Court, 70-1
- ACCRETION
 - Defined, 41
 - Instances of, 42, 53
- ACQUIESCENCE (See POSSESSION)
- AGREEMENTS BETWEEN STATES
 - Effect of, considered, 36, 38-9, 43, 55, 67-8, 75-6
 - Suggested by the Court, 53, 68
- ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, I, 3-5
 - Judicial provisions of, 4-5
- AVULSION
 - Defined, 42
 - Instances of, 42, 47, 55-6
- BANK OF THE UNITED STATES
 - Controversy concerning the charter of, 90
- BOUNDARIES
 - Nature of, in America and in Europe, 34-5
 - Principles of decision applicable to, 56-9
 - Procedure in determining, 37
 - Doctrine of long possession applied to, 35, 36, 41, 43, 50, 54, 55
 - Doctrine of self-determination not applied to, 35, 39
 - In navigable channels, 42-3, 51, 76-7
 - Mathematical, 34, 36-7, 43, 44, 54
 - (See also ACCRETION, AVULSION, RIVERS, and THALWEG)
- CIVIL WAR, 1861-5
 - Relation of the Supreme Court to, 12, 91-3
 - Claim by New York for money spent in, 65
- CLAIMS, COURT OF
 - Establishment of, 31-2, 63
 - Suits by States in, 32, 64-7
- CODE
 - Necessity of, for an international tribunal, 88, 118-20
- CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, Ch. I
 - Judicial provisions of, 7-9
 - Tenth Amendment to, 1
 - Eleventh Amendment to, 9, 21, 95
 - Other Amendments to, 13, 93
- COSTS
 - Practice of the Supreme Court regarding, 37, 52, 81
- DEBTS OF THE STATES, Ch. IV
 - Suits by individuals to recover, 9, 60
 - Question of interest upon, 61-2, 65, 70-1

- EMBARGO UPON TRADE
 Legality of, considered, 22-4, 77-9
- ENGLISH LAW
 Position of, in American jurisprudence, 15, 57-8, 83
- EXECUTION, Ch. VI
 Importance of the problem of, 117-18
- FISHERY
 Rights of, in territorial waters, 47-52
- FORECLOSURE
 Decreed against a State, 22, 98-9
- FOREIGN STATES
 Indian tribes not included among, 15, 73
 Suit by Cuba against South Carolina not prosecuted, 75
- FUGITIVES
 Obligation of a State to surrender, 18, 95-7
- GIFTS
 Validity of, unaffected by motives and status of donor, 22
- HAMILTON, ALEXANDER
 Views of, upon the enforcement of decrees against States, 101-2
- INDIAN TRIBES
 Legal position of, 15, 73-5, 89
- INTERNATIONAL LAW
 Applied by the Supreme Court, 3, 41-3, 51, 53, 83-4
- IRRIGATION
 Injury by, 24-5, 81-7
- ISLANDS
 Disputes as to ownership of, 39-42, 47-52, 53
- JACKSON, PRESIDENT ANDREW
 Attitude of, towards the Supreme Court, 89-91
- JURISDICTION OF THE SUPREME COURT, Ch. II
 Defined by the Constitution and Judiciary Act of 1789, 6-9
 Curtailed by the Eleventh Amendment, 9, 21, 95
- MAPS
 Effect of errors in, considered, 45-7
- MARSHALL, CHIEF JUSTICE
 Influence of, upon the Constitution, 10, 89-90
- MISSOURI COMPROMISE, 1820, 91
- NUISANCE
 Action for, against a State, 25-6, 79-81
- POLITICAL QUESTIONS
 May become judicial, 17
- POSSESSION (See BOUNDARIES)
- PROCEDURE, RULES OF
 Application of, where States are parties, 3, 36-7, 69, 107-8
- RESISTANCE
 Instances of, to decrees of the Supreme Court. Ch. VI
- QUARANTINE LAWS
 Action against a State based upon unfair administration
 of, 22-4, 77-9

- RIPARIAN STATES
Rights of, in common stream, 24-6, 75-7, 79-87
(See also BOUNDARIES)
- RIVERS
Banks of, as boundaries, 37-8, 54-5
Effect of changes in, upon boundaries, 39-42
Jurisdiction of Congress over navigable, 75-7, 82-3
(See also ACCRETION, AVULSION, BOUNDARIES, NUISANCE,
RIPARIAN STATES, and THALWEG)
- SEA, ARMS OF THE
Question of boundaries in, 47-52, 52-3
- SET-OFF
Question of, in actions upon State debts, 64
- SEWAGE (See NUISANCE)
- SLAVERY
Controversy concerning, 91-3
- SOVEREIGNTY
Theory of, in the American Constitution, 1-3, 110
- STATES
Equality of, 84
Duties of the governors of, 96-7
- SUPREME COURT
Origin and functions of, Ch. I
Attitude of public opinion towards, 89-90, 109
Position of, in political controversies, 92-3
Influence of, considered, 11-13, Ch. VII
- TANEY, CHIEF JUSTICE
Opinion of, in Dred Scott case, 91-3
- TAX
Question of *mandamus* to a State to levy, 102-4
Limits of State exemption from Federal, 65-7
- TEXAS
Admission of, to the Union, 2, 44-5
- THALWEG
Rule of, explained and applied, 51, 52-3
- TRADE
Liabilities of States engaging in, 65-7
- TREATIES
Boundaries depending upon, 39, 42-3, 44-5, 48
Violation of, by States, 73-5, 89
- UNITED STATES
Suits by, 29-30, 44-7, 61-3
Suits against, 30-3, 63-7
Intervention of, in inter-State suits, 18, 82-3
Relation of, to the States, 1, 18, 66, 111
- WAR
Power of tribunals to avert, discussed, Ch. VII
- WEST VIRGINIA
Formation of, 19-21, 27, 38-9, 67-8
Reluctance of, to comply with decree of the Supreme Court,
28, 99-105